

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/913,760	08/17/2001	Axel Brenner	MO-6535/LEA 33.042	5279
157 7:	590 03/03/2003			
BAYER POL	<del>-</del>	EXAM		NER
100 BAYER RO PITTSBURGH	<del></del>		SZEKELY,	PETER A
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2003	

Please find below, and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/913,760	BRENNER ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Peter Szekely	1714
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	correspondence address
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute teply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. It the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 A	<u> August 2001</u> .	
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) [] Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10,12 and 14-27</u> is/are pending in t	the application.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-10,12 and 14-27</u> is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	<b>7.</b>	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-, -	· ·
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).
a)[	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ol>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•	
a)	The translation of the foreign language procedure.	visional application has been rec	eived.
Attachment	(s)		
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 5

Application/Control Number: 09/913,760

Art Unit: 1714

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2, 4, 5, 9, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 2 contains improper Markush language. The other rejected claims do not make it clear that the oxide does not have to be an alkaline earth metal oxide. The format of claim 14 is advised.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-10, 12 and 14-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b or e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Krishnan et al. 4,650,823, Takahashi et al. 4,713,408, Umeda et al. 5,449,710 or Ishii et al. 6,369,141, in view of Mark 3,919,167, Mark et al. 3,933,734, Torimae et al. 5,849,001 or Nagashima et al. 5,910,560.
- 6. Since a certified English translation of the priority document is not in the file, the effective filing date of the instant application is 8/17/01. Krishnan et al. disclose

Application/Control Number: 09/913,760

Art Unit: 1714

polycarbonate, black or red iron oxide and PTFE in the Abstract, sulfonic acid salts and sulfonates in column 5, line 45 and particle size of the iron oxide in column 4, lines 58-62. The two Mark patents teach the sulfonic acid salts and sulfonates used by Krishnan et al. in Table I and the claims. Takahashi et al. teach polybutylene terephthalate in the Abstract, salts of sulfonic acid in the Tables and claim 5 and silica (silicon dioxide) having a particle size of 10-300 millimicron (nanometer) in column 3, lines 13-19. Umeda et al. recite polycarbonate, salts of perfluoroalkanesulfonic acid and inorganic filler in the Abstract and CR-60 titanium oxide in column 12, lines 45-46. Torimae et al, in column 13, lines 32-35, and Nagashima et al. in column 10, lines 35-36, report that the average particle diameter of said CR-60 is 200 nm. Ishii et al. reveal polycarbonate, PTFE and sulfonic acid metal salt in the Abstract and titanium oxide having a diameter between 100 and 400 nanometers in column 10, lines 36-45. Applicants' claims are not novel. In the alternative, the two Marks patents, Torimae et al. and Nagashima et al. supply the information to prove that Krishnan et al. and Umeda et al. disclose all the ingredients claimed by applicants, making the selection of these ingredients obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is 703-308-2460. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 703-306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

Application/Control Number: 09/913,760

Art Unit: 1714

872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Peter Székely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. February 23, 2003